# "Phytochemical, antioxidant and hepatoprotective effect of plant *cnidoscolus quercifolius* paracetamol induced liver injury in rats."

<sup>1</sup>Arti Parihar, <sup>2</sup>Poonam Bihone, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Rajesh Mujariya, <sup>4</sup>Dr. Manjeet Singh, <sup>1,2,3,4,</sup>Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Research (IPSR), Balaghat (MP), 481331, India Corresponding Author: aratiparihar9696@gmail.com

# Abstract:

Hepato- protective herbs play a vital role for the treatment of Liver disease In order to overcome this difficulty a novel attempt has been made to standardize the drug *cnidoscolus quercifolius* for its hepatoprotective properties by using analytical, preclinical studies. The drug P. niruri & Tribulus terrestris was selected from the to validate the safety and its efficacy of induced hepatotoxicity. According to the OECD guideline 423, it was concluded that the test drug *cnidoscolus quercifolius* is a safest drug. No mortality was obtained. Toxicological study of both acute and sub-acute toxicity study were carried out in animal model Wistar albino rat according to the OECD guidelines. The test drug showed no acute toxicity as there was no mortality seen. The sub-acute toxicity after the repeated dose of 28 days was done. The mortality, functional observations, hematological and biochemical investigations were made. There was no significant change seen in the normal values. Thus the toxicological study of the test drug greatly establishes the safety and gives the justification for long time administration. In Conclusion, no toxic effect was observed up to 200mg/kg of *cnidoscolus quercifolius* treated over a period of 28 days (OECD 423). So, it can be concluded that the *cnidoscolus quercifolius* can be prescribed for therapeutic use in human with the dosage recommendations of up to 100mg/kg body weight p.o

Keywords: Hepatotoxicity, cnidoscolus quercifolius, OECD 423, Morbidity, Antioxidant activity.

### Introduction

Medicinal plants play a key role in the human health care. About 80% of the world population rely on the use of traditional medicine which is predominantly based on plant materials.<sup>1</sup> Liver is considered to be one of the most vital organs that functions as a center of metabolism of nutrients such as carbohydrates, proteins and lipids and excretion of waste metabolites.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, it is also handling the metabolism and excretion of drugs and other xenobiotics from the body thereby providing protection against foreign substances by detoxifying and eliminating them.<sup>3</sup> The bile secreted by the liver has, among other things, plays an important role in digestion. Liver cell injury caused by various toxicants such as certain chemotherapeutic agents, carbon tetra chloride, thioaceta amide etc., chronic alcohol consumption and microbes is well-studied. Enhanced lipid peroxidation during metabolism of ethanol may result in development of hepatitis leading to cirrhosis.<sup>4</sup>

# Hepatoprotective herbs

Herbal-based therapeutics for liver disorders has been in use in India for a long time and has been popularized world over by leading pharmaceuticals.<sup>5</sup> Despite the significant popularity of several herbal medicines in general, and for liver diseases in particular, they are still unacceptable treatment modalities for liver dis-eases. The limiting factors that contribute to this eventuality are (i) lack of standardization of the herbal drugs; (ii) lack of identification of active ingredient(s)/principles(s); (iii) lack of randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs), and (iv) lack of toxicological evaluation.<sup>6</sup>

# **Collection of Plant material and Authentication**

*cnidoscolus quercifolius* was collected in July- August 2023 from the Botanical Garden Balaghat, The plant material was identified and authenticated taxonomically at Nirmal Institute of Agriculture Technology Gondia 441614.

# Chemicals

DPPH (1,1-diphenyl,2-picryl hydrazyl), nitro tetrazolium blue (NBT), ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA), 5,5'-Dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB).

# **Plant Preparation**

The plant preparation was done, about 20 grams of dried leaves of *cnidoscolus quercifolius* were placed in thimbles in the water as solvent then, followed by transferring the thimbles into Soxhlet extractors, and 300 ml grade water was added to flask, which was linked to the extractor and condenser.<sup>7</sup> The extraction was performed in 24 hours. After the extraction, solvent were evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator to obtain the crude extracts of *cnidoscolus quercifolius* The extracts were finally lyophilized and stored at 20<sup>o</sup>C until the tests were performed

# **Phytochemical Screening**

The phytochemical components of *cnidoscolus quercifolius* leaves were screened using standard method described by Harborne Alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, saponins, steroids, lignans, glycoside and anthroquinones were screened qualitatively for its presence in leaves extract. The color intensity of the precipitate was measured<sup>8</sup>

Phytochemical	Aqueous Extract of <i>cnidoscolus quercifolius</i>
Steroids	++
Anthraqunoles	+
Alkaloids	++
Tanins	++
Sapnonin	++
Flavanoids	-
Glycosides	+
Lignan	++

Table 1: Qualitative analysis of Phytochemical in leaf extracts of cnidoscolus quercifolius

Present ++; Present +; Absent -

Table 2: Antioxidant activity of <i>cnidoscolu</i>	is quercifolius
--	-----------------

Concentration	SOD Activity %	Hydrogen	Peroxide	DPPH
(µg/ml)	Inhibition	scavenging	%	Scavenging %
		Inhibition		

	Ascorbate	Aqueous	Ascorbate	Aqueous	Ascor	Aqueous
		Extract		Extract	bate	Extract
20	22.13	27.63	22.12	24.25	31.15	27.93
40	39.57	54.58	34.93	31.45	49.11	50.63
60	68.48	74.89	50.16	49.83	75.85	74.09
80	82.29	83.61	67.26	69.43	91.31	86.01
100	73.82	91.64	74.53	74.35	98.71	89.66

# ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY – OECD GUIDELINES - 423

Acute toxicity study was carried out as per OECD 423 Studied carried out at six female rats under fasting condition, signs oftoxicity were observed for every one hour for first 24 hours and every day for about 14 days from the beginning of the study.<sup>9</sup>

### **Principle:**

It is the principle of the test that based on a stepwise procedure with the use of a minimum number of animals per step; sufficient information is obtained on the acute toxicity of the test substance to enable its classification. The substance is tested using a stepwise procedure, each step using three animals of a single sex.<sup>10</sup>

# METHODOLOGY

### Selection of animal species:

The preferred rodent species was rat, although other rodent species may be used. Female should be nulliparous and non-pregnant. Each animal at the commencement of its dosing should be between 8 and 12 weeks old and its weight should fall in an interval within  $\pm 20$  % of the mean weight of the animals<sup>11</sup>

# Housing and feeding conditions:

The temperature in the experimental animal room should be 22°C. Although the relative humidity should be at least 30% and preferablynot exceed 70% other than during room cleaning the aim should be 50-60%. Lighting should be artificial, the sequence being 12 hrs light, 12 hrs dark. Animals may be grouped and tagged by dose, but the number of animals per cage must not interfere with clear observations of each animal.<sup>12</sup>

# Condition of animals in laboratory.

The animals are randomly selected, marked to permit individual identification, and kept in their cages for at least 7 days prior to dosing to allow for acclimatization to the laboratory conditions.

# **EXPERIMENT PROCEDURE**

# Administration of doses

*cnidoscolus quercifolius* prepared as per the literature was suspended in 2% CMC with uniform mixing and was administered to the groups of wistar albino rats. It was given in a single oral dose by gavages using a feeding needle. Animals were fasted prior to dosing. Following the period of fasting, the animals were weighed and then the test substance was administered. After the substance has been administered, food was withheld for a further 3-4 hours. The principle of laboratory animal care was followed. Observations were made and recorded systematically and continuously observed as per the guideline after substance administration. The visual observations included skin changes, mobility, and aggressiveness, sensitivity to sound and pain, as well as respiratory movements.

# Number of animals and dose levels

Since this test drug has been under practice for long time and likely to be non- toxic, a limit test at one dose level of 200 mg/kg body weight will be carried out with6 animals (3 animals per step).

Duration of Study	: 48 hrs
Evaluation	: 14 Days

# TOXICITY STUDY RESULTS

# Table: 3. Behavioral Signs of Toxicity for cnidoscolus quercifolius

# **Observation done:**

Group	Day
Body weight	Increased
Assessments of posture	Normal

Signs of Convulsion Limb paralysis	Absence (-)			
Body tone	Normal			
Lacrimation	Absence			
Salivation	Absence			
Change in skin color	No significant colour change			
Piloerection	Normal			
Group	Day			
Defecation	Normal			
Sensitivity response	Normal			
Locomotion	Normal			
Muscle gripness	Normal			
Rearing	Mild			
Ur	No			

Table: 4. Behavioral Signs of Toxicity for cnidoscolus quercifolius

Dose mg/kg	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
2000	+	-	-	1	-	+	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-

1. Alertness 2. Aggressiveness 3. Pile erection 4. Grooming 5. Gripping 6. Touch Response 7. Decreased Motor Activity 8. Tremors 9 Convulsions 10. Muscle Spasm

# Interpretation:

In the acute toxicity study, the rats were treated with different concentration of *cnidoscolus quercifolius* from the range of 5mg/kg to 200mg/kg which did not produce signs of toxicity, behavioral changes, and mortality in the test groups as compared to the controls when observed during 14 days of the acute toxicity experimental period. These results showed that a single oral dose of the extract showed no mortality of these rats

even under higher dosage levels indicating the high margin of safety of this extract. In acute toxicity test the *cnidoscolus quercifolius* was found to be non-toxic at the dose level of 2000mg/ kg body weight.

# Weight gain of wistar albino rat

Dose	Days										
(mg/kg/day)	0	7	14	21	28						
Control	120.59±0.92	122.79±0.87	123.52±1.18	127.24±1.12	131.25±1.05						
100 mg	121.53±0.93	124.14±0.58	127.24±1.15	128.92±1.40	132.23±1.05						
200 mg	122.65±0.91	127.83±0.90	128.23±1.15	130.59±1.59	134.05±0.98						

Table: 5.	Body weight	(g) changes	of rats when	exposed to	cnidoscolus	quercifolius
-----------	-------------	-------------	--------------	------------	-------------	--------------

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M; N=3; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 vs control.

### Interpretation

The total body weight of the animals was weighed on 1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup> day and is shown in the table. It was found that the test drug produced significant weight gain than control, with administration of the drug. Similarly the test drug at all dose levels induced weight gain and we could see longer the duration of administration of drug higher was the weight gain.

# Results of organ weight in albino wistar rat

Table. : 6. Effect of cnidoscolus quercifolius on organ weight in rats

Organ	Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Liver (g)	3.07±0.20	4.41±0.32	4.72±0.32
Heart (g)	0.32±0.04	0.37±0.01	0.42±0.02
Lung (g)	0.28±0.05	0.32±0.01	0.38±0.01
Spleen (g)	0.74±0.07	0.68±0.17	$0.78{\pm}0.08$
Brain (g)	0.37±0.05	0.47±0.02	0.54±0.03
Kidney (g)	0.76±0.05	0.89±0.01	0.91±0.02

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M; N=3; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 vs control.

# Interpretation

### **Results of Haematological parameters**

Parameter	Control	100mg/kg	200 mg/kg
$RBC(x \ 10^6/mm^3)$	8.29±0.43	8.27±0.44	8.26±0.44
PCV (%)	49.66±0.77	47.98±1.04	47.20±0.91
Hb (%)	15.13±0.39	14.83±0.40	15.03±0.39
WBC(x $10^3$ /mm <sup>3</sup> )	11.75±0.85	11.73±0.85	11.74±0.85
Neutrophils (%)	23.29±0.73	21.94±1.03	22.96±0.49
Eosinophills (%)	4.10±0.23	3.8±0.25	3.97±0.23
Lymphocyte (%)	85.5±0.46	83.7±0.72	84.87±0.32
Platelets(x $10^3$ /mm <sup>3</sup> )	425.73±1.35	423.43±1.47	425.03±1.26

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M; N=3; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 vs control.

# Interpretation

The hematological investigation results of the rats conducted on 28<sup>th</sup> day after the repeated dose of the drug revealed the values of different parameters. There is a slight variation in the values of RBC count values in the dose group of 100 and 200 when compared with that of the control. The increase and decrease in the values obtained were all within the normal biological and laboratory limits.

**Results of Biochemical Parameters** 

Parameters	Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Protein (g/dl)	$8.58\pm0.68$	7.56±0.61	6.76±0.44
Albumin (g/dl)	$5.34\pm0.40$	5.29±0.44	3.5±0.54
BUN (mg/dl)	$22.06\pm1.55$	22.72±1.9	25.53±1.8
Urea (mg/dl)	$64.24\pm3.11$	66.7±5.3	69.2±2.9
Creatinine (mg/dl)	$0.85\pm0.07$	0.6±0.24	0.71±0.25
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)	$93.21\pm1.16$	92.17±1.13	91.53±1.35
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	$52.58 \pm 1.56$	52.16±1.3	52.93±1.7
Glucose (mg/dl)	$108.63\pm0.81$	107.97±1.12	109.4±0.51
Total Bilirubin (mg/dl)	$0.205\pm0.04$	0.16±0.08	0.10±0.03
SGOT (U/L)	$73 \pm 2.4$	72.67±1.64	65.52±2.4
SGPT(U/L)	$28.4\pm1.2$	25.77±0.64	23.99±0.70
Alkaline phosphatase(U/L)	$102.4\pm3.6$	101.3±1.5	91.33±4.26

# Table.No:8. Effect of cnidoscolus quercifolius on biochemical parameters in rats

Values are expressed as mean  $\Box$  S.E.M; N=3; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 vs control.

# Interpretation

The biochemical investigations were conducted on 28<sup>th</sup> day and the results are produced. The results revealed that there is a slight significant change in the values of different parameters with that of the control. All the values were within the normal biological and laboratory limits.

# **Results of Urine parameters**

Table.No:9. Effect of cnidoscolus quercifolius on Urine parameters in rats

Parameters	Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Color	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow
Transparency	Clear	Clear	Clear
Specific gravity	1.01	1.02	1.02
PH	7.2	7.4	7.3

Protein	Nil	Nil	Nil
Glucose	Nil	Nil	Nil
Bilirubin	-ve	-ve	-ve
Ketones	-ve	-ve	-ve
Blood	Absent	Absent	Absent
RBCs	Nil	Nil	Nil
Epithelial cells	Nil	Nil	Nil
Casts	Nil	Nil	Nil

### Interpretation

Urine analysis data of control group and the test groups of animals taken on 28<sup>th</sup> day showed no abnormal results.

The above results showed that all parameters remained within normal limits.

### Interpretation

The above slides show the histopathology studies of sub-acute toxicity. There is no toxicological abnormality seen in the vital organs after administration of the test drug *cnidoscolus quercifolius* Thus the safety of the drug is revealed so that it can be administered for long time without any side effects.


### Pharmacological Result: Paracetamol

#### **INDUCED HEPATOTOXICITY**

Table: 10. Effects of Serum Enzymes on cnidoscolus quercifolius

Group	Treatment	SGPT U/L	SGOT U/L
1	Control	24.185 ±1.62	28.95 ±1.46
2	Paracetamol + LP	$102.8 \pm 1.25$	167.84 ±2.94
3	Standard (Silymarin – 100 mg)	30.95 ±2.34	43.8 ±2.35**
4	Paracetamol + low dose SPC - 100  mg	68 ±2.51	$70.76 \pm 1.43$
5	Paracetamol + high dose	34.53 ±3.38*	40.05 ±1.72*

control.






Figure no.	1 Effort of Somum	Engumes on	anidagaalug	anonaifaling
rigure no.	1. Effect of Serum	Enzymes on	chiuoscoius	quercijonus

Group	Treatment	ALP (mg/dl)
1	Control	39.86 ±3.46
2	Paracetamol + LP	89.33 ±0.97
3	Standard (Silymarin -100mg)	47.81 ±4.69**
4	Paracetamol + low dose $SPC - 100 \text{ mg}$	76.05 ±2.96*
5	Paracetamol + high dose SPC - 200  mg	54.31 ±3.84*

Table: 11. Effect of Serum Enzyme (ALP) on cnidoscolus quercifolius

Values are expressed as mean S.E.M; N=6; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 vs control





Figure no 2. Effect of Serum Enzyme (ALP) on cnidoscolus quercifolius

Group	Treatment	Total Bilirubin	Direct Bilirubin
		mg/dl	mg/dl
1	Control	0.253 ±0.003	0.17 ±0.003
2	Paracetamol + LP	1.5 ±0.1	1.53 ±0.01
3	Standard	$0.44 \pm 0.007$	$0.24 \pm 0.004$
	(Silymarin – 100mg)		
4	Paracetamol + low dose	1.25 ±0.015	1.21 ±0.040
	<i>SPC</i> – 100 mg		
5	Paracetamol + high dose	$0.52 \pm 0.002$	0.20 ±0.018
	<i>SPC</i> – 200 mg		

*Values are expressed as mean S.E.M; N*=6; \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001 vs control.



# Figure no 3. Effects of Bilirubin Levels on cnidoscolus quercifolius

- > Group I: Control rat showing normal central vein and normal hepatocytes
- ▶ Group II: Showing dilated central vein and hepatocytes with degeneration
- ➢ Group III: Liver tissue of rats treated with *cnidoscolus quercifolius* at 100mg/kg showing milddegree of necrosis (N) with normal cells (C)
- Group IV: Central vein showing normal hepatocytes with regenerating hepatocytes and mild inflammation in the portal area
- Group V: Photomicrograph of liver tissue treated with Silymarin showing normal hepatocytes, portal vein (V) and portal artery.

# Conclusion

Liver diseases are the most common health problem in the world. The Liver is quantitatively the most important site of drug metabolism. However many drugs are known to cause hepatic injury. Conventional and synthetic drugs used in the treatment of liver diseases are sometimes inadequate and can have serious adverse effect. In order to overcome this difficulty a novel attempt has been made to standardize the drug *cnidoscolus quercifolius* for its hepatoprotective properties by using analytical, preclinical studies. The drug P. niruri & Tribulus terrestris was selected from the to validate the safety and its efficacy of Paracetamol

induced hepatotoxicity

### Hepatoprotective activity against, Paracetamol:

The present study showed that *cnidoscolus quercifolius* produce protective against the hepatotoxicity induced by Paracetamol. The hepatoprotective role of *cnidoscolus quercifolius s* might be due to its chemical constituent. Hence *cnidoscolus quercifolius* may be act as prophylactic as well as curative drug in treating hepato toxic conditions. Further studies needs to isolate the active constituents and mechanism of action. Thus the author validates *cnidoscolus quercifolius* as a new hepato-protective drug which is cost effective and without any side effect.

#### Reference

- 1. Ali BH, Blunden G, Tanira MO, Nemmar A. Some phytochemical, pharmacological and toxicological properties of ginger (Zingiber officinaleRoscoe): a review of recent research. Food Chem Toxicol 2008; 46:409-420.
- 2. Farnsworth, N.R., Akerele, O. Bingel, A.S., Soejar to, D.D. and Guo, Z. Medicinal plants in therapy. Bulletin of World Health Organization, 965-981, 1985.
- 3. Handa SS, Sharma A, Chakraborti KK. Natural products and plants as liver protecting drugs. Fitoterapia,57:307-51, 1986.
- 4. Jezequel AM Mancini R, Rinaldesi ML et al. A morphological study of the early stages of hepatic fibrosis induced by low doses of dimethyl nitrosamine in the rat. J Hepatol 1987; 5; 174-181.
- K. George Mathew and Praveen Agrawal, Prep Manual for Undergraduates, Medicine, Elsevier, 2nd Edition; 349, 2014
- Luper, S.N.D, A review of plants used in the treatment of liver disease: Part 1. Alternative Medicine Review, 410-421, 1998
- Orient Longman Indian medicinal plants, a compendium of 500 species, Orient Longman pvt ltd, 1st volume, 1<sup>st</sup> edition, Annasalai, Chennai-600002, page no: 191, 1994.
- 8. Pessoa MG, Terrault NA, Detmer J. Quantitation of hepatitis G and C viruses in the liver: evidence that hepatitis G virus is not hepatotropic. Hepatology1998; 27:877.

- Rathod Jaimik D, Patak Nimish L, Patel Ritesh G, Jivani Nuruddin Patel, Laxman D, ChauhanVijay, Ameliorative effect of bambusa arundinacea against adjuvant arthritiswith special reference to bone erosion and tropical splenomegaly, Journd of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics; 2012, 2(3): 141-145.
- S.Venkatrajan, Sarabendra Vaidhiya Muraigal, Soolai, Moola, Kusta, Pitharogauraigal, Saraswathi Mahal Noolagam, 2nd edition, 194-195, 2000.
- 11. Schuppan D., Jia, J.D., Brinkhaus, B. and Hahn, E.G. Herbal products for liver diseases: A therapeutic challenge for the new millenium. Hepatology, 30(4), 1099-1104, 1999.
- 12. Shanmugavelu M, Noikaluku Siddha Parigaram, Department of Indian Medicine and Homoeopathy. Part -1, 3rd Edition. Oct 1999; pg no: 88, 93.