# IMPACT OF ELECTRONIC WASTE AND RELATED LEACHATES ON HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT: A GLOBAL ECOLOGICAL THREAT AND ITS MANAGEMENT

1) First Author name and details

Students Name – Mr. Mridul Trivedi

Department- Department of Zoology

Official Address- Monad University Pilkuwa, Hapur- 245304

Correspondence email ID - mridultrivedi47@gmail.com

2) Second Author name and details

Supervisor name – Dr. Kapil Kumar

Department- Department of Zoology

Official Address- Monad University Pilkuwa, Hapur- 245304

Correspondence email ID – mridultrivedi47@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT**

Electronic trash assumes a huge part in worldwide strong waste administration. E-squander, a huge piece of strong waste, contains different dangerous parts, for example, halogenated intensifies like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), polybrominated biphenyl (PBB), and other poisonous materials. These substances inconveniently affect plants, organisms, and people. Weighty metals (HMs) like arsenic (As), chromium (Cr), cadmium (Disc), copper (Cu), and mercury (Hg) are huge poisonous parts of e-squander. They should be painstakingly overseen during the destruction system. The contribution of the casual area in agricultural nations compounds the issue. Besides, the current removal and treatment innovations for e-squander are inadequate, prompting immediate and circuitous adverse consequences on human well-being and the climate. This audit centers around the worldwide age of electronic junk (e-squander) and its effect on different parts of the biological system like soil, plants, microbes, creatures, and people. This survey additionally examines the extraction of valuable metals through various methods. This assessment discovers that there is a significant prerequisite to substitute existing cycles with cutting-edge eco-accommodating methods to deal with e-squander.

***KEYWORD: Solid Waste, Eco-Friendly, Electronic Trash, Environment***

## 1. INTRODUCTION

E-squander handling in India needs guidelines. If e-squander is taken care of utilizing logical strategies, we can separate important metals from them. This e-squander programming empowers the recuperation of valuable parts from electronic gadgets through reusing, with isolation assuming an urgent part. We can likewise improve the framework for reusing electronic waste. The presence of risky components in e-squander influences human well-being and dirties the air, water, and land, featuring the meaning of fitting e-squander reusing. This will be overseen utilizing e-squander programming. The quick development in science, innovation, design, and executives has driven industrialization and urbanization by presenting electrical, mechanical, and electronic contraptions to address the issues of individuals for manageable jobs and advancement (Ghimire and Ariya 2020). The electronic business has fundamentally changed the worldwide business as an imperative component representing things to come world. It is

pervasive all through a few areas like clinical, well-being, schooling, diversion, planned operations, well-being machines, and network safety. There is a developing interest in computerizing and working on numerous private, public, and government foundations utilizing current advancements including the Web of Things (IoT), Web of Clinical Things (IoMT), fighting, airplane, control frameworks, man-made reasoning, picture obtaining, and show. The gadgets are dependent on electrical and electronic equipment parts including semiconductors, capacitors, resistors, interfaces, motherboards, and so on. They are completely used by people for their food. Although electronic parts have different purposes and are popular, they have limits that adversely affect the worldwide climate.

The fast monetary development, urbanization, and innovative advancement have prompted the production of various electronic gadgets that have altogether upgraded our personal satisfaction. Mandate 2012/19/EU of the European Board and parliament characterizes electrical and electronic hardware (EEE) as modern or family gear like lighting gear, clinical gadgets, data innovation gear, and recreation things, for example, toys, PCs, telephones, and others that work utilizing electromagnetic fields or electric flows. As of now, very nearly 900 distinct kinds of EEE are open in the worldwide market. Innovative progressions have given many advantages to society by improving our lives. In any case, a huge disadvantage of contingent vigorously upon these advanced innovations is the significant collection of waste electrical and electronic hardware (WEEE) or electronic waste (E-squander). The computerized report for 2020 demonstrated that there were 4.57 billion web clients and 5.15 billion cell phone clients, addressing practically 60% of the worldwide populace. Moreover, the ascent in buyer interest for hardware, especially in the web innovation industry, because of Coronavirus lockdowns and remote work has prompted a further heightening in e-squander creation, representing a critical worldwide concern.

E-squander alludes to electronic and electrical hardware that is not generally needed, obsolete, non-useful, or has arrived at the finish of its administration life. E-squander includes different classifications and its definition shifts around the world, prompting an absence of consistency in its definition and the board. Also, in numerous nations, some Electrical and Electronic Hardware (EEE) things are not named e-squander and are liable to rules separate from the e-squander structure. As per the European Association WEEE regulation, EEE alludes to electronic and

electrical gear that is discarded as waste, incorporating with its consumables, subassemblies, and all parts remembered for it when disposed of. WEEE incorporates significant apparatuses like clothes washers and coolers, as well as little private EEE items like cell phones and laptops. The structure of the thing is actually and synthetically confounded, since it incorporates metals, polymers, glass, pottery, and noxious substances including natural fire retardants, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other unsafe mixtures. The contemporary obsession with electrical and electronic gadgets, along with the constant quest for securing the freshest contraptions, has brought about the advancement of present-day hardware that has more limited life ranges and experiences inconveniences because of its complex plans. E-squander contains around 100 metals and materials, with differing amounts and organizations relying upon the sort, model, producer, and age of the electronic gear.

The Unified Countries research expresses that e-squander creation rose fundamentally to 53.6 million metric tons in 2019, a 21% ascent from 2015, and is supposed to arrive at around 120 million metric tons by 2050 around the world. The hardware business is known for its huge energy utilization and fossil fuel byproducts because of expanded interest in electronic gadgets. Advanced innovations contribute around 1.4% to 5.9% of ozone-harming substance emanations, with more than 31% credited to gadgets like laptops, cellphones, netbooks, and screens. E-squander is a serious worry because of its high presence in strong waste and the presence of risky parts and weighty metals that can adversely affect human and natural well-being if not overseen accurately. Moreover, electronic junk contains significant components like gold that should be recuperated and utilized to safeguard regular assets. Consequently, the issue of electronic waste is perplexing and has different perspectives; it yields positive, negative, and ominous outcomes. This outline resolves the essential issues with the turn of events and piece of e-squander, as well as its well-being and natural impacts. It additionally accentuates current practical techniques for overseeing e-squander.

### 1.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

Notwithstanding the gamble of draining distinct advantages, another issue is the adverse consequences of fast mineral extraction brought about by human exercises on the climate and human wellbeing. Mining and mineral handling rehearses are a huge issue in various nations because of their developing effect on environmental change and an Earth-wide temperature

boost. As the requirement for hardware rises, metal prospecting is diving further into the world's surface, requiring weighty gear to recuperate metal minerals found at more noteworthy profundities. Hence, these areas for the most part use large equipment fueled by mechanical and electrical energy, as well as intensity that adds to an Earth-wide temperature boost. The ascent in ICT gear and hardware creation has prompted a worldwide expansion in carbon impression because of outflows from both energy utilization and the critical energy expected in their assembling cycle. Mining and handling intriguing earth metals for electronic gadget fabricating, as well as the subsequent waste age, are extra factors adding to the CO2 impression. Mining and handling crude assets add to an Earth-wide temperature boost as well as produce critical waste that influences the climate and human wellbeing. The essential natural ramifications of digging and mineral handling for the hardware area incorporate corrosive mine seepage, metal testimony, sedimentation, influence on biodiversity, and junk age. Logical concentration by and large burdens the ecological effect of gadget creation, though the impact on society is less featured, albeit the hardware business additionally influences society, which can be portrayed as the human cost/cost for designing computerized innovation. The production network of PCs is frequently denounced for participating in hindering social practices such as youngster work, constrained work, and long working hours, basically pervasive in unfortunate countries. Work misuse and lethal mishaps are more normal in assembling and getting together businesses, as detailed by different sources.

### 1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Digitalization time is expanding the interest in electronic gear, fundamentally influencing low-center-pay nations in different ways. ICT items generally use a scope of metals obtained from countries with changing guidelines, handled in perilous areas, and discarded as untreated poisonous e-squander. The worldwide mining industry is encountering expanded interest in these gadgets, prompting financial advantages yet additionally causing critical asset use, land use, and e-squander contamination. The mineral and mining business isn't promptly connected to practical improvement because of critical concerns concerning the consumption of the world's limited assets. The mining area supplies fundamental crude minerals for electronic items and administrations through multifaceted material cycles that include mining and handling tasks.

Subsequently, the use of life cycle evaluation for minerals and metals has expanded in commonness and importance inside the gadgets area.

## 2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. Identify the remarkable components that add to the electronic waste administration programming.
2. To research specific variables connected with electronic waste administration to shield the climate in India.
3. To reuse electronic trash utilizing electronic waste administration programming. Keeping away from the outdated nature of electronic waste products

## 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The Specialist would utilize a point-by-point Exploration Philosophy to respond to the inquiries by concentrating on lawful writing regarding this matter at the Public and Worldwide levels. The review will use a distinct exploration strategy to order, coordinate, decipher, and organize essential and optional source information. This study utilized optional information gathered through a complete writing investigation of articles on e-squander the board distributed somewhere in the range of 2005 and 2022. The review used four noticeable information bases: Science Direct, ProQuest, Web of Science, and Emerald. The data sets were picked for their far-reaching inclusion and portrayal of top scholarly articles on e-squander in the picked nations. To guarantee thorough inclusion of the articles in these data sets, explicit watchwords, for example, "electronic waste" OR "e-waste" AND "e-squander the board" OR "e-garbage removal" OR "e-squander reusing" AND "natural effects" OR "wellbeing effects" AND "Asia" OR "Latin America" were utilized in the pursuit. The consideration standards for the examinations were scholastic diaries, book parts, gathering papers, and institutional reports distributed somewhere in the range of 2005 and 2022, written in English.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 LEACHATES FROM E-WASTE

Leachate is a high-focus and harmful result produced from landfills, fertilizing the soil plants, e-squander handling plants, strong waste unloading locales, and burning plants. Leachates

commonly contain different harmful natural contaminations, weighty metals (HMs), smelling salts nitrogen compounds, and other complex fragrant synthetics that are more mind-boggling than those tracked down in family sewage. It is described by huge inconstancy and heterogeneity. The leachate produced from the e-squander landfill is profoundly poisonous and negative to living organic entities. The piece of leachate is impacted by different boundaries, for example, area, seasons, landfill age and configuration, squander qualities, home span away tanks at cremation offices, and waste beginning. Leachate volume is impacted by variables, for example, area, dampness level, term, and treatment technique.

E-squander in landfills can deliver determined natural poisons (POPs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) into the climate. PCBs comprise north of 200 synthetic mixtures (congeners). POPs can be distinguished in far-off conditions a long way from their source, even in regions where they have never been used, because of their capacity to go more than 1600 kilometers by water or air flows.

Leachate from landfills is fundamentally created through precipitation, penetration, surface and groundwater penetration, leachate from covering materials, free water in rubbish, and leachate from natural matter decay.

**4.1 PROPERTIES OF E-WASTE LEACHATES**

E-squander comprises different materials that require particular treatment and ought not to be discarded in standard landfill destinations. It contains perilous substances like PCBs, mercury, PBBs, BFRs, PBDEs, and lead, as well as important materials like steel, iron, aluminum, copper, silver, gold, platinum, plastics, and palladium. They may likewise incorporate extra unsafe mixtures such as antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, TBBPA, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hexavalent chromium (Cr VI), nickel, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) (Kiddee et al., 2020). Leachate characteristics are affected by the creation of waste, dampness levels, their source, and the climate. Precipitation fundamentally influences the properties of landfill leachate. The shower penetrates the landfill locales, changing the solvent poisons from a strong state to a fluid state. Leachates contain a huge centralization of natural and inorganic toxins that are perilous. E-squander turns out to be more harmful and risky when blended in with modern and metropolitan strong waste in landfills, prompting a more convoluted synthesis of leachate because of the

dissolving of pollutants in the e-squander. A review showed that the leachate delivered from an open electronic waste dumpsite incorporates synthetics that are both aneugenic and clastogenic, presenting damage to living animals (Bakare et al., 2009, Buy et al., 2020). Lab scale research shows that leachates delivered from harmed electronic rubbish contain remarkably raised degrees of metals like Ba, Be, Al, Cd, Cr, Cu, Co, Pb, Ni, and V in contrast with leachate from different sorts of waste.

**TABLE 4.1. GENERAL COMPOSITION OF LEACHATES GENERATED FROM THE E-WASTE DUMPSITES.**

| S.No | Index | Value (except for pH) |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | pH | 6.1–9.0 |
| 2. | Electrical conductivity (mS/cm) | 11.9–3448 |
| 3. | Total dissolved solids (mg/l) | 6.6–3230 |
| 4. | Total organic carbon (mg/l) | 10.6–2161 |
| 5. | Aluminum | 2.1–985 |
| 6. | Barium | 11.9–670 |
| 7. | Beryllium | 0.003–0.3 |
| 8. | Antimony | 0.9–51 |
| 9. | Vanadium | 2.5–1607 |
| 10. | Iron | 13.6–10 770 |
| 11. | Arsenic | 4.9–198 |
| 12. | Cadmium | 0.003–3.47 |
| 13. | Chromium | 0.9–1383 |
| 14. | Cobalt | 0.8–79 |
| 15. | Copper | 1.0–201 |
| 16. | Lead | 0.2–47.2 |
| 17. | Nickel | 3.7–2583 |
| 18. | Zinc | 0.5–508 |
| 19. | PBDEs (ng/l) | 0.2–8.4 |

### 4.3 E-WASTE POLLUTION: A GLOBAL CHALLENGE

The gadgets business is adding to a developing issue of critical e-squander creation. E-squander incorporates disposed of electronic and electrical gear, including things like PCs, cell phones, and electronic lab hardware, as well as any parts discarded by clients. A few electronic merchandise are disposed of rashly by proprietors and just a little part of these hardware come to true reusing offices. The essential variables adding to the ascent in e-squander creation are the declining expenses of gadgets and the continuous lessening in their life span. These variables have prompted a critical ascent in the accessibility of electronic contraptions to an enormous populace and have sped up the rate at which these gadgets become obsolete. Moreover, the speedy movement and multifaceted design of e-squander make it trying to order them into viable classifications.

E-squander is at present a significant issue in feasible utilization and creation. This subject is critical for manageability as it includes innovation, economy, energy, correspondence, culture, squandering the board, the environment, human wellbeing, legislative issues, and foreign relations. It is an extending transdisciplinary challenge. In 2019, worldwide e-squander creation rose to 53.6 million metric tons, according to reports. Europe, the USA, Indonesia, Japan, India, and China are the essential supporters of around 70% of the overall e-squander, with individual measures of 12 Mt, 13.1 Mt, 1.62 Mt, 2.57 Mt, 3.23 Mt, and 10.1 Mt. Around 17.4% of the e-squander created overall was reused, while the leftover 82.6% was either discarded, untreated, or handled informally. A gauge recommends that the volume of e-squander created could arrive at 74.7 million metric tons by 2030, up from 7.3 kilograms per capita in 2019 to 9.0 kilograms for capita in 2030. Most e-squander created in North America and Europe is shipped off to Asian, South American, and African nations yearly since reusing costs are lower there and there is a gamble of unlawful removal or gift. Just a little level of e-squander is utilitarian for handed-down use, while roughly 80% of these products are non-practical and are either discarded in dumpsites or reused casually by untalented laborers without sufficient individual defensive gear. The yearly 5% to 10% ascent in EEE that is appropriately discarded represents a critical gamble to the climate and human wellbeing. E-squander is a developing issue in both created and non-industrial nations and requires unique concentration. It incorporates various components and mixtures, some of which are valuable while others are harmful, presenting dangers to both the

climate and human well-being. Casual work tasks in the e-squander business, which incorporate unregulated and arduous work utilizing obsolete innovation, are at present more beneficial than formal exercises. These practices address 98% of non-industrial countries. UN SDG 12 accentuates that just 20% of electronic waste is reused accurately, while the excess 80% is discarded inappropriately. This has incited various explorations concentrates on e-squander and its natural results throughout recent years. ‘

### 4.4 SUSTAINABLE APPROACHES TOWARDS EFFECTIVE E-WASTE MANAGEMENT

Overseeing strong rubbish is a huge test in most non-industrial nations, and it has been additionally intensified by the convergence of electronic trash and the quick out-of-date quality of electrical and electronic hardware. E-squander is a pivotal classification in squandering the board dynamic cycles. E-squander the board difficulties originate from lacking monetary support, insufficient framework, inadequate specialized aptitude, and restricted local area contribution. Arising economies commonly have unobtrusive reusing frameworks, yet need cutting-edge innovation for separating materials from printed circuit sheets. E-squander creation is impacted by a nation's Gross domestic product, and Balde et al. have confirmed that it is connected to a country's contamination levels. Ill-advised e-squander the board brings about crumbling, contamination, defilement, and the outflow of perilous fumes into the climate. Despite possessing simply 2 to 5% of complete strong volume, it represents more than 70% of poisonousness. Inappropriately discarding e-waste can be perilous on account of the dangerous metals and synthetic compounds it contains. In less fortunate countries, the development of disease-causing substances and unfamiliar synthetic compounds has been recorded in unapproved reusing offices, representing a critical issue in the ill-advised treatment of electronic junk. Productive and proactive e-squander the board ought to be compulsory around the world, and incorporated plans for e-squander reusing are vital.

Specialists overall have shown a developing interest in concentrating on manageable e-squander treatment as of late. Ebb and flow and future natural worries, alongside using green, clean, and manageable techniques to separate synthetic substances from normal and made sources, for example, e-squander, are huge areas of exploration. Because of the fluctuated piece and expanding volume of e-squander, there is a necessity for specific waste administration that

incorporates legitimate treatment to limit contamination and recuperate significant materials. Subsequently, the roundabout economy (CE) system may be viewed as a substitute strategy to achieve maintainability. CE advocates for the reuse of important materials to diminish contamination and oversee optional materials. Broadened maker obligation is a device that can use optional unrefined substances found in e-waste to relieve likely dangers in the store network. CE is a practical monetary methodology that replaces regular financial improvement by zeroing in on exercises like material usage (reusing, recuperation), delaying the existence of e-squander parts (fix, reuse, restore), and advancing proficient utilization of EEE and e-squander (lessen, reconsider, decline). Carrying out e-squander the board rehearses like decrease and reusing is significant for resolving the issue of e-squander and advancing the development of a round economy. Subsequently, the issue of electronic waste can be tended to by applying the 3 Rs standard (decrease, reuse, reuse), a strategy lined up with the idea of a Round Economy. The 3Rs rule in this sense alludes to diminishing e-gadgets/e-squander, reusing potential e-items or e-waste, and reusing e-items that are hopeless. Lessening e-squander is pivotal, which can be accomplished by keeping up with contraptions with everything looking great. The 3Rs strategy in e-squander the board might be lacking and needs further multidisciplinary and cooperative endeavors including administrative, nongovernmental, and modern areas, as well as business and common society levels. Here are a few possible techniques for really taking care of electronic items and electronic waste.

## 5. CONCLUSION

E-squander is the strong waste classification encountering the quickest ascent. The presence of various hazardous inorganic and natural mixtures in it, like weighty metals, polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic sweet-smelling hydrocarbons, and brominated fire retardants, represents a critical gamble to the climate and human wellbeing. Metals from electronic garbage bins taint water and soil on the off chance that not treated before being discarded in landfills, representing a danger to the climate. Dioxins, acids, and furans are delivered through unfortunate e-squander reusing procedures such as open consuming and corrosive showers, prompting the development of toxic and risky substances. E-squander affects air quality, land, water, and human well-being which should be tended to. The worldwide expansion in mining exercises to remove metals for gadgets is draining normal assets, some of which are in danger of depletion, notwithstanding the

adverse consequences of e-squander on open and natural wellbeing. Mining is a critical commitment to an Earth-wide temperature boost because of its high energy utilization in the creation and assembling of gadgets. Electronic gear likewise requires a huge amount of energy, making energy productivity a critical test.

Past examinations suggest executing expanded maker obligation and the 3Rs methodology in gadgets fabricating guidelines overall to advance the development of items intended for reuse as opposed to becoming outdated. Lay out worldwide coordinated associations to screen worldwide e-squander age. Foster conventional checking frameworks and public regulations to productively control the progression of e-squander and oversee reusing exercises. An authorization instrument for the casual e-squander handling area ought to be laid out and used by legislative and nongovernmental associations.

**REFERENCES**

20. Kumar, B.; Bhaskar, K. Electronic waste and sustainability: Reflections on a rising global challenge. Mark. Glob. Dev. Rev. 2016, 1, 5. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
21. Balde, C.P.; Forti, V.; Gray, V.; Kuehr, R.; Stegmann, P. The Global E-Waste Monitor 2015: Quantities, Flows and Resources; United Nations University: Tokyo, Japan, 2015; Available online: http://collections.unu.edu/view/UNU:5654#.WnCl1D4X4rY.mendeley (accessed on 23 April 2022).
22. Andeobu, L.; Wibowo, S.; Grandhi, S. An assessment of e-waste generation and environmental management of selected countries in Africa, Europe and North America: A systematic review. Sci. Total Environ. 2021, 792, 148078. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Healthcare or Medical Waste: Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal. 2020. Available online: http://www.basel.int/?tabid=5839 (accessed on 6 April 2022).
24. Zuo, L.; Wang, C.; Sun, Q. Sustaining WEEE collection business in China: The case of online to offline (O2O) development strategies. Waste Manag. 2020, 101, 222–230. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Forti, V.; Balde, C.P.; Kuehr, R.; Bel, G. The Global E-Waste Monitor 2020: Quantities, Flows and the Circular Economy Potential; United Nations University (UNU): Bonn, Germany; United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR): Geneva, Switzerland; International Telecommunication Union (ITU): Geneva, Switzerland; International Solid Waste Association (ISWA): Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 2020. [Google Scholar]
26. Khan, A.H.; López-Maldonado, E.A.; Khan, N.A.; Villarreal-Gómez, L.J.; Munshi, F.M.; Alsabhan, A.H.; Perveen, H. Current solid waste management strategies and energy recovery in developing countries—State of art review. Chemosphere 2022, 291, 133088. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. Chan, J.K.Y.; Wong, M.H. A review of environmental fate, body burdens, and human health risk assessment of PCDD/Fs at two typical electronic waste recycling sites in China. Sci. Total Environ. 2013, 463, 1111–1123. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
28. Andeobu, L.; Wibowo, S.; Grandhi, S. A systematic review of e-waste generation and environmental management of Asia Pacific countries. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021, 18, 9051. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
29. World Health Organization (WHO). Water, Sanitation, Hygiene, and Waste Management for the COVID-19 Virus: Interim Guidance; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2020; Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331499/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_WASH-2020.2-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed on 15 March 2022).
30. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). UN Report: Time to Seize Opportunity, Tackle Challenge of E-Waste. 2019. Available online: https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/press-release/un-report-time-seize-opportunity-tackle-challenge-e-waste (accessed on 16 April 2022).
31. Lee, D.; Offenhuber, D.; Duarte, F.; Biderman, A.; Ratti, C. Monitour: Tracking global routes of electronic waste. Waste Manag. 2018, 72, 362–370. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
32. Khan, A.H.; López-Maldonado, E.A.; Alam, S.S.; Khan, N.A.; López, J.R.; Méndez Herrera, P.F.; Abutaleb, A.; Ahmed, S.; Singh, L. Municipal solid waste generation and

the current state of waste-to-energy potential: State of art review. Energy Convers. Manag. 2022, 267, 115905. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

33. Gao, Y.; Ge, L.; Shi, S.; Sun, Y.; Liu, M.; Wang, B.; Shang, Y.; Wu, J.; Tian, J. Global trends and future prospects of e-waste research: A bibliometric analysis. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2019, 26, 17809–17820. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
34. Schumacher, K.A.; Agbemabiese, L. Towards comprehensive e-waste legislation in the United States: Design considerations based on quantitative and qualitative assessments. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 2019, 149, 605–621. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
35. Zhang, L.; Geng, Y.; Zhong, Y.; Dong, H.; Liu, Z. A bibliometric analysis on waste electrical and electronic equipment research. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2019, 26, 21098–21108. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]