IMPACT OF FDI INFLOWS ON EMPLOYMENT RATE: A CASE STUDY OF INDIA

Dr. Y. Satguru Roshan (Assistant Professor), Deptt. Of Management,
Uttam Institute Of Technology and Management, Runakta, Agra.
ysatgururoshan@gmail.com
Krati Agarwal, Research Scholar, Faculty Of Commerce,
Deptt. Of Applied Business Economics, Dayalabgh Educational Institute,
Dayalbagh, Agra-0282005

Abstract

FDI inflows are the value of inward direct investment made by non-resident investors in the reporting economy whereas FDI outflows are the value of outward direct investment made by the residents of the reporting economy in rest of word. Foreign direct investment is a category of cross-border investment associated with a resident in one economy having control or a significant degree of influence on the management of an enterprise that is resident in another economy. Unemployment occurs when a person who is actively searching for employment is unable to find work. Employment is often used as a measure of the health of the economy. The most frequent measure of unemployment is the unemployment rate, which is the number of unemployed people divided by the number of people in the labor force. This study focuses to know the impact of FDI on employment rate of Indian economy for which researcher has taken whole India as sample area. To test objective researchers have applied simple regression analysis.

Keywords: FDI, employment rate,

Introduction

FDI net inflows are the worth of inward direct funding made by using non-resident investors within the reporting financial system. FDI net outflows are the worth of outward direct funding made by the residents of the reporting economic system to external economies.
Inward direct investment, also referred to as direct investment within the reporting economy, consists of all liabilities and property transferred between

resident direct investment businesses and their direct investors. it additionally covers transfers of belongings and liabilities among resident and nonresident fellow firms, if the ultimate controlling discern is nonresident. outward direct funding, also called direct investment overseas, includes assets and liabilities transferred between resident direct buyers and their direct funding firms. it additionally covers transfers of belongings and liabilities between resident and nonresident fellow organizations, if the ultimate controlling parent is resident. Outward direct investment is additionally referred to as direct funding overseas. Overseas direct investment may be a class of cross-border funding associated with a resident in one financial system having manipulate or an honest sized diploma of have an impact on the management of an enterprise this is often resident in another economic system. also because the fairness that gives rise to control or impact, direct investment additionally includes funding related to that courting, inclusive of investment in during a detour motivated or controlled establishments, funding in fellow enterprises (corporations controlled by way of the identical direct investor), debt (besides selected debt), and reverse funding. implementation of the balance of bills manual 6th version (bpm6) method has brought modifications to the definition of direct investment through making it constant with the OECD benchmark definition of foreign direct funding, notably the recasting in phrases of manage and have an impression on, remedy of chains of funding and fellow companies, and presentation on a gross asset and liability basis also as in step with the directional principle

the main determinants of FDI is facet additionally to extend prospectus of the economic system of the us of America when FDI is formed.

Hymer proposed some more determinants of fdi thanks to criticisms, at the side of assuming market and imperfections.

These are as follows:

1. Company-precise advantages: as soon as home funding was exhausted, a firm should cash in of its benefits connected to marketplace imperfections, which will provide the firm with marketplace electricity and aggressive gain. Additionally research attempted to offer an evidence for a way companies should monetize those advantages within the shape of licenses.
2. Removal of conflicts: battle arises if a corporation is already operating in foreign market or trying to form bigger its operations inside an equivalent market. He proposes that the answer for this hurdle arose within the shape of collusion, sharing the marketplace with opponents or attempting to accumulate an immediate manage of producing. However, it should be

taken under consideration that a reduction in warfare thru acquisition of control of operations will growth the market imperfections.

3. Propensity to formulate an internationalization method to mitigate hazard: consistent with his role, companies are characterized with 3 stages of choice making: the day to day supervision, control choice coordination and while strategy planning and deciding . The extent to which a enterprise can mitigate threat relies upon on how well a corporation can formulate an internationalization method taking these stages of choice into account .

Impact of latest FDI Policy on Employment & Economy

Foreign direct investment may be a lead economic component which indicates the investment climate within the economy and helps build investors' confidence domestically and internationally. FDI helps to make technological advancements, increase competitiveness of the industry, enhance capital stock, intensify infrastructural base and thus reflects the general level of prosperity within the economy. Post liberalization of Indian economy since 1991s, India has gone an extended way in attracting FDI from different economies of the planet across a whole gamut of sectors. With the continual pace of reforms, FDI up to 100% is allowed under the automated route in many sectors of the Indian economy.

The objective of inviting large chunks of FDI is to supply better career prospects to our youth, to get employment opportunities and to offer a push to overall economic process and development. With the arrival of continuous pace of reforms in attracting FDI, Indian economy has scripted its presence together of the fastest growing economies of the planet financial system and has emerged as a key destination for attracting FDI within the recent years. Foreign companies invest in India to require advantage of relatively lower wages, availability of huge scale skilled, unskilled and semi-skilled workforce and special investment privileges like tax exemptions. Today, India has been considered together of the favourite FDI investment destinations across the planet . the entire annual FDI inflows to India have increased by nine fold over the last fifteen years. FDIs were at USD 6.1 billion in FY2002, which scaled up to USD 55.5 billion in FY2016. FDI inflows growth remains steady over the past fifteen years with a CAGR of 16%. The FDI growth was at 52.1% in FY2002, which scaled up to a peak level of 155% in FY2007 during the amount of 5 years. Further, FDI inflows growth was at 34% in FY2012 and stands at about 23% in FY2016.

Top ten investing countries in India

Among the highest ten investing countries in India, Mauritius is that the largest

investor with a share of 33% in total FDI equity inflows during April 2000 to March 2016, followed by Singapore with a share if 16%, U.K. at 8%, Japan at 7%, USA and Netherlands at 6% each, Germany and Cyprus at 3% each, France at 2% and UAE at 1%.
Services sector- Prominent sector attracting largest share of FDI inflows Services sector is holding the most important pie within the total FDI equity inflows at about 18% during the amount April 2000 to March'16, followed by construction development sector at about 8%, computer software and hardware contributing share of about 7% in total FDI equity inflows.

State Wise FDI Equity Inflows among the highest ten states or UTs attracting FDI equity inflows, Mumbai is attracting the most important share of total FDI equity inflows at 29% during April 2000 to March 2016, followed by New Delhi at 22%, Chennai and Bangalore at 7% each and Ahmedabad at 5%.
Easing FDI norms in India to push job creation and infrastructure development Over the years, Government of India has brought major FDI policy reforms during a number of sectors viz. Defense, Construction Development, Insurance, Pension Sector, Broadcasting Sector, Tea, Coffee, Rubber, Cardamom, vegetable oil Tree and vegetable oil Tree Plantations, Single Brand Retail Trading, Manufacturing Sector, indebtedness Partnerships, Civil Aviation, Credit Information Companies, Satellites- establishment/operation and Asset Reconstruction Companies. However, keeping in sight our economy's potential to draw in much more foreign investment, Government has recently in June 2016 further liberalized and simplified the FDI regime particularly for food products, defense sector, broadcasting carriage services, pharmaceutical, civil aviation, private security agencies, establishment of branch office, liaison office or project office, farming and single brand retailing.

FDI reforms to push employment generation, youth empowerment and a lift to economic process
With the easing of recent FDI reforms, the method of inviting foreign investments is simplified to save lots of time and energy of the investors. the rise in sectoral caps, bringing more activities under automatic route and easing of conditionality's for foreign investment will make India a more open economy within the world financial system . The FDI policy pronouncements will help in creating additional jobs also as induce employment and spur up the Make-in-India program with emphasis on driving both foreign and domestic investments.
The domestic investment environment also will be boosted with large scale

foreign investments offerings within the promising sectors of the economy. Further, many the youth of our country are going to be benefitted as remunerative employment or entrepreneurial opportunities are going to be created within the coming times.

Review of Literature

Vasile Alecsandru Strat Andreea and MariaPaul(2015)[1] said that there is no Granger causality relation between the variables for six countries and a one direction causal relation was identified for the remaining ones. The reverse impact is also mentioned by the researchers who provide strong evidence supporting the hypothesis that FDI bring important benefits to a host country.

Sarbajit Chaudhuri, Ujjaini Mukhopadhyay(2014)[2] said that FDI in the primary export sector also improves national welfare while foreign capital inflows in the nonagricultural sectors may worsen welfare. The results shed some new light on the long-standing policy debate on foreign direct investment and justify the desirability of FDI flow in the primary export sector from the perspective of both unemployment and social welfare.

Hamidah Muhd Irpan, Rosfadzimi Mat Saad, Abu Hassan Shaari Md Nor, Abd Halim Md Noor and Noorazilah Ibrahim(2012)[3] focuses on the impact of FDI on employment rate in Malaysia. The study finds that FDI, number of foreign workers, and GDP significantly influence the unemployment rate in Malaysia.

Adam P. Balcerzak, Mirosława Żurek(2011)[4] used the VAR methodology was utilized based on aggregate quarterly data. FDI impulse leads to decreasing of unemployment rate. However, the positive influence of FDI on Polish labour market tend be rather short term. It can suggest that government policies designed for encouraging FDI investment should be reformed in order to make conditions for positive long term influence of foreign capital inflow on Polish labour market.

Need of the Study

Job creation is one among the most important challenges for developing countries. The effect of the FDI on employment is one the foremost direct expressions of FDI. It's direct and indirect effect on employment. a considerable amount of development has been observed within the inflows of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in India over the last 20 years . It means the FDI brings new production capacity and new jobs. Meanwhile it can improve the event of relevant industries. So, to study Impact of FDI inflows on employment rate becomes important as employment generation is crucial part for India. This study will help a person to know that FDI is affecting employment generation.

This study will help government to know the condition about the impact of FDI and will further help to formulate policies as required. This study will also help researchers and general people about impact as it may lead to path for further study and people with get to know the impact of FDI inflows on employment generation is ultimately it will affect Indian economy.

Objectives

1. To know the nature of impact of FDI Inflows on Employment Sector.
2. To know the Outcome of FDI Inflows for Employment Sector.

Research Design and Methodology:

To make the present study scientific, the researcher would like to use following Research Design:

Collection of Data- This study is primarily based on secondary data.

Scope- Proposed study includes FDI Inflows to India from developed nations.

Duration- This study based on data from 2012-2019.

Specific research methodology- In addition to above stated general research methodology. The researcher would like to use following objective wise research methodology:

S no.	Objectives	Tools
1	To know the nature of impact of FDI Inflows on Employment Sector.	Descriptive & Trend analysis.
2	To know the Outcome of FDI Inflows for Employment Sector.	Descriptive analysis.

Analysis- The data collected by using below stated methods would be tabulated and analyzed by using suitable statistical techniques like, Mean, Correlation, Standard Deviation, Regression Analysis, Time Series Analysis and Test of Significant, etc.

Data Analysis

Foreign Direct Investment and Public Sector

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PubSector	9530.6000	1251.14538	8
FDI	36267.8750	5974.49471	8

Correlations

		PubSector	FDI
Pearson Correlation	PubSector	1.000	.230
	FDI	.230	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	PubSector	.	.292
	FDI	.292	.
N	PubSector	8	8
	FDI	8	8

Variables Entered/Removed[a]

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	FDI[b]	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: PubSector

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.230[a]	.053	-.105	1315.25883	.053	.334	1	6	.584

a. Predictors: (Constant), FDI

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	578118.564	1	578118.564	.334	.584[b]
	Residual	10379434.816	6	1729905.803		
	Total	10957553.380	7			

a. Dependent Variable: PubSector
b. Predictors: (Constant), FDI

Coefficients[a]

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	7786.062	3053.367		2.550	.043	314.743	15257.381
	FDI	.048	.083	.230	.578	.584	-.155	.252

a. Dependent Variable: PubSector

Foreign Direct Investment and Private Sector

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PvtSector	110020.0000	3781.97227	8
FDI	36267.8750	5974.49471	8

Correlations

		PvtSector	FDI
Pearson Correlation	PvtSector	1.000	-.010
	FDI	-.010	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	PvtSector	.	.491
	FDI	.491	.
N	PvtSector	8	8
	FDI	8	8

Model Summary

Model	*R*	*R Square*	*Adjusted R Square*	*Std. Error of the Estimate*	*Change Statistics*				
					R Square Change	*F Change*	*df1*	*df2*	*Sig. F Change*
1	*.010*[a]	*.000*	*-.167*	*4084.81036*	*.000*	*.001*	*1*	*6*	*.982*

a. Predictors: (Constant), FDI

b. All requested variables entered.

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	9146.113	1	9146.113	.001	.982[b]
	Residual	100114053.887	6	16685675.648		
	Total	100123200.000	7			

a. Dependent Variable: PvtSector

b. Predictors: (Constant), FDI

Coefficients[a]

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	110239.427	9482.866		11.625	.000	87035.689	133443.165
FDI	-.006	.258	-.010	-.023	.982	-.638	.626

a. Dependent Variable: PvtSector

Interpretation

1. **FDI and Public Sector**

Pub.Sect.=B0+B1X1+E

Pub.Sect.=7786.06+0.48(FDI)

The elasticity of coefficient between Public sector and FDI is 0.48. It means 1% increase in FDI causes for 0.48% increase in Pub. sect.

2. **FDI and Private Sector**

Pvt.Sect.=B0+B1X1+E

Pvt.Sect.=110239.42+(-0.006)(FDI)

The elasticity of coefficient between Private sector and FDI is (-0.006). It means 1% decrease in FDI causes for causes for (-0.006%) decrease in Pvb.sect.

Referencing

1. Adewumi, S., 2006. The Impact of FDI on Growth in Developing Countries, pp. 15.

2. Alfaro, L., 2003. Foreign Direct Investment and Growth: Does the Sector Matter?, [Online] Available at:http://www.51lunwen.org/UploadFile/org201101310901063260/20110131090106459 .pdf [Accessed 2 April 2012].

3. Ansari, M.S. and Ranga, M., 2010. India's Foreign Direct Investment: Current status, issues and policy recommendations. UTMS Journal of Economics, 1 (2), pp.1-16.

4. Craigwell, R., 2006. Foreign Direct Investment and Employment in the English and Dutch-speaking Caribbean, [Online]. Available at: http://www.ilocarib.org.tt/cef/background%20papers/FDI_.pdf [Accessed 4 April 2012].

5. Economy Watch, 2010. Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). [Online] Available at: http://www.economywatch.com/foreign-direct-investment/ [Accessed 17 February 2012]

6. Business Maps of India, 2010. Sectorwise Analysis of FDI Inflow in India. [Online] Available at: http://business.mapsofindia.com/fdi-india/sectors/ [Accessed 5 April 2012].

7. Hooda, S., 2011. Study of FDI and Indian economy, [Online] pp. 114-115. Available at: http://www.nitkkr.ac.in/Sapna_Hooda_Thesis_A_Study_of_FDI_and_Indian_Econo my.pdf [Accessed 19 February 2012]

8. Investopedia, 2012. Kurtosis. [Online] Available at: http://www.investopedia.com/terms/k/kurtosis.asp#axzz1rabZtxst [Accessed 8 April 2012].

9. Investopedia, 2012. Skewness. [Online] Available at: http://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/skewness.asp#axzz1rabZtxst [Accessed 8 April 2012].

10. Jayaraman, T.K. and Singh, B., 2007. Foreign Direct Investment and Employment Creation in Pacific Island Countries: An empirical study of Fiji. Asia-Pacific Research and Training Network on Trade, [Online]. Working Paper Series, no. 35. Available at: http://www.unescap.org/tid/artnet/pub/wp3507.pdf [Accessed 5 April 2012].

11. Keshava, S.R., 2008. The effect of FDI on India and Chinese Economy; A comparative analysis, [Online] Available at: http://static.globaltrade.net/files/pdf/20100311120742.pdf [Accessed 20 February 2012].

12. Management Paradise, 2011. History of FDI in India. [Online] Available at: http://www.managementparadise.com/forums/financial-managementfm/204590-history-fdi-india.html [Accessed 20 February 2012]

13. Rizvi, S.Z.A. and Nishat, M., 2009. The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Employment Opportunities: Panel Data Analysis, [Online] pp. 8. Available at: http://www.pide.org.pk/psde/25/pdf/Day3/Syed%20Zia%20Abbas%20Rizvi.pdf